

234+235+236+241

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(1) COCP No.1222 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.02.2018**

CHAND RAM AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

Versus

**DR. PADAM PARKASH BHOJ VAID, PRINCIPAL OF CHIEF
CONSERVATOR OF FOREST, PANCHKULA AND ANOTHER**

..... Respondents

(2) COCP No.1229 of 2017 (O&M)

PAWAN KUMAR AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

Versus

**DR. PADAM PARKASH BHOJ VAID, PRINCIPAL OF CHIEF
CONSERVATOR OF FOREST, PANCHKULA AND OTHERS**

..... Respondents

(3) COCP No.1230 of 2017 (O&M)

KULDEEP AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

Versus

**DR. PADAM PARKASH BHOJ VAID, PRINCIPAL OF CHIEF
CONSERVATOR OF FOREST, PANCHKULA AND ANOTHER**

..... Respondents

(4) COCP No.1416 of 2017 (O&M)

JEET SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

**PADAM PARKASH BHOJ VAID, PRINCIPAL OF CHIEF
CONSERVATOR OF FOREST, PANCHKULA AND ANOTHER**

..... Respondents

(5) COCP No.1417 of 2017 (O&M)

BIRI @ KARAMVIR AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

Versus

**PADAM PARKASH BHOJ VAID, PRINCIPAL OF CHIEF
CONSERVATOR OF FOREST, PANCHKULA AND ANOTHER**

..... Respondents

(6) COCP No.1984 of 2017 (O&M)

SHER SINGH AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

Versus

**DR. PADAM PARKASH BHOJ VAID, PRINCIPAL OF CHIEF
CONSERVATOR OF FOREST, PANCHKULA AND ANOTHER**

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Indresh Goel, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This common order shall dispose of the aforementioned six petitions as the same have arisen out of the common issues regarding claims for regularization of services of the petitioners based on the regularization policy dated 01.10.2003 of the Haryana Government. However, for the facility of reference, facts are being culled out from COCP No.1222 of 2017.

Petitioners had filed a writ petition bearing CWP No.15322 of 2015, claiming for regularization of their services based on the regularization policy dated 01.10.2003 of the Haryana Government. The said writ petition was allowed by this Court vide order dated 20.09.2016 **(Annexure P-1)** with the directions “*to consider the cases of the petitioners for regularization of their services either in terms of the regularization policy dated 01.10.2003 or 10.02.2004 issued by the Government of Haryana or any other policy where their cases might fit into, preferably the one which is the earliest in point of time.*”

Since the claim in question of the petitioners was not considered, hence the present contempt petition(s).

At the time of hearing, learned State Counsel states that the regularization policy has been stayed by this Court vide order dated 02.09.2016 passed in *CWP No.17206 of 2015 titled as 'Yogesh Tyagi and another versus State of Haryana and others'* and after the decision of the said case, an appropriate action will be taken. He further states that the present set of contempt petitions may be disposed of as in identical contempt bearing COCP No.197 of 2016, this Court on 06.02.2018 has passed the following order:-

“ *Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the claim of the petitioner for regularization has been considered in principle but no order for regularization has been passed, whereas, learned counsel for the respondents-State submits that because of order of stay of the policies in **CWP No.17206 of 2015 titled as Yogesh Tyagi and another vs. State of Haryana and others** passed on **02.09.2016**, the order of regularization*

could not be passed.

*In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner and pass necessary order subject to decision of **Yogesh Tyagi's** case (supra) within a period of one month.*

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

06.02.2018

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE ”**

In view of the above, present contempt petitions are **disposed of** in the same terms as passed in the aforesaid order dated 06.02.2018.

However, in case there is factual error, the petitioners are at liberty to revive the present contempt petitions within a period of two months from today.

February 14, 2018

'rajneesh'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>