

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

COCP No.1239 of 2016

Date of Decision: 01.03.2018

Gursahib Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Mr. Ramesh Chand Bidhan

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Jasdev S. Thind, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed with the grievance that in pursuance of directions issued on 01.03.2004 in ***CWP No.526 of 2004***, no action has been taken within the stipulated period of one month even after serving of legal notice.

In pursuance of notice of motion, reply has been filed. A speaking order has been passed on 23.01.2017 which is annexed as Annexure R-4 with the reply.

In view of the above, no case is made out to initiate contempt proceedings.

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

However, in case, the petitioner is aggrieved by the order passed by the respondent, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy to challenge the said order.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

01.03.2018

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No