

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No. 1085 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.05.2018**

Sukhwinder Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Dr. G. Vajralingam, Secretary, Department of Education,
Chandigarh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Arun Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Bajaj, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondents.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner alleges the violation of the order dated 29.02.2012
(Annexure P-1) passed by this Court in writ petition bearing CWP No. 213
of 2000, whereby the directions was issued to the respondents-Department
of Education, Punjab, to reconsider the claim of the petitioner as per her
eligibility on merits in the reserved category of dependent of ex-servicemen,
following consequential benefits, if any. It was also directed that if the
petitioner figures in the merit list and is given appointment, only notional
benefits shall be granted to her and the needful shall be done within a period
of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Since the needful was not done within stipulated time of six
months, hence the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, a reply stands filed. In reply, it is stated that the
claim of the petitioner has been reconsidered in light of instructions of

(Annexure R-2) has been issued to the petitioner for the post of Punjabi Mistress with notional benefits w.e.f. 02.12.1996, i.e., from the date of last selected candidate in the recruitment in question.

In view of above, counsel for the parties concede that the order dated 29.02.2012 **(P-1)** has been complied with and the present petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous.

Rule is discharged.

May 01, 2018

'dk kamra'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>