

201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.1067 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19.03.2018

COL. ASEEM ATREY (RETD.)

..... Petitioner

Versus

SHRI SATISH ROHILLA, ASSISTANT REGISTRAR,
COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES, CIVIL LINES, GURGAON

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Kartik Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Bajaj, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner (Aseem Atrey), claims himself to be a Member of the Saraswati Kunj Co-operative House Building Society, Wazirabad, Gurgaon and was accordingly allotted a plot No.1425, Part-II, Phase-I measuring 250 sq. yards.

Upon payment of all these dues towards sale consideration, the possession was handed over to the petitioner on 21.04.2004. The grievance of the petitioner was that Conveyance Deed was not being executed, leading to the petitioner filing *CWP No.21711 of 2015*, which was disposed of vide order dated 23.11.2016 (**Annexure P-1**), directing the Assistant Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Gurgaon '*to pass a speaking order on the relief claimed by the petitioner within a period of three months from the receipt of*

a certified copy of the order.'

Since the needful was not done, hence the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, a reply has been filed, whereby it is stated that a speaking order dated 01.03.2017 (**Annexure R-1**) already stands passed and conveyed to the petitioner. It is submitted that on the directions passed by this Court, in another separate writ petition, a Commission was appointed to submit a comprehensive report after examining detailed respective claims of all the Members and scrutinize in the record.

At the time of hearing, it is pointed out by the learned State Counsel, on instruction from Mr. Satish Rohilla, Assistant Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Gurgaon/respondent, who is present in Court, that the Commission has submitted its report dated 05.08.2017 to the Government and the process is on for inviting objections from the aggrieved Members. The final adjudication shall be completed by the Commission after considering the objections and the petitioner is free to file his objections before the Commission.

In view of the above, no further action is warranted in the present contempt.

Disposed of.

March 19, 2018

'rajneesh'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>