

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.1104 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.03.2018**

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

M.K.V. Ramarao

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Wasu, Advocate
for the respondent.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

CM No. 24131-CII of 2016

This application is for placing on record Annexures R-29 to R-32.

Application is allowed. Annexures R-29 to R-32 annexed with the application are taken on record.

COCP No. 1104 of 2014

Learned counsel for the respondent has brought to the notice of this Court that same issue was raised in LPA No. 977 of 2014 and the judgment passed in CWP No. 6557 of 1993 titled as "**Rajender Singh Redhu and Others Vs. Haryana State Electricity Board and Others**" has been discussed. He further submits that petitioner has not filed the petition for non-compliance of order passed in Review Application No. 2 of 2017.

In view of submission made by learned counsel for the respondent, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent to consider the claim of the petitioner in view of order of

clarification passed in Review Application No. 2 of 2017 in CM No. 48008 of 2016 in LPA No. 977 of 2014 decided on 08.12.2017. The petitioner is at liberty to make a representation to the respondent-authority within a period of two weeks. In case such representation is moved by the petitioner the respondent is directed to take action on the representation filed within a period of four weeks thereafter. In case the presence of the petitioner is required for personal hearing he be given an opportunity for the same.

March 26, 2018

Poonam (II)

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No