

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 15.05.2018****COCP No.1050 of 2018**

Ram Kishor

...Petitioner

Vs.

Harpreet Singh Garcha & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Petitioner in person.

Mr. Harpreet Singh Brar, Advocate,
Secretary, Bar Council of Punjab & Haryana High Court,
Chandigarh.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

In compliance of the previous order, Mr. Harpreet Singh Brar, Advocate, has produced the decision of the Bar Council of India (BCI) dated 11.05.2018 declining the request of the petitioner for enrolment as an Advocate on account of want of minimum pass percentage in the Bachelor Degree for admission to the three years LL.B course. The BCI has opined that the admission made by the University was in contravention of its own regulations as well as the Rules of the BCI that mandate securing at least 45% marks at the graduation level for admission to the three years LL.B course. The BCI asserts that its Rules of granting license to practice law in the Courts override all the statutory rules of the Universities from the date of the Rules of the BCI in this behalf were notified on 23.03.2009. A copy of the order dated 11.305.2018 is retained on record as Mark-A.

With the passing of the order dated 11.05.2018, a fresh cause of action has accrued to the petitioner and he may, if advised, take recourse to law to challenge the order.

Consequently, the instant petition is dismissed as no contempt is made out.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the order in appropriate proceedings.

15.05.2018
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No