

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.1023 of 2016

Date of decision : 29.01.2018

Smt. Birmati

.....Petitioner

Versus

Amit Jha and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S. S. Mann, Senior D.A.G., Haryana.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

In pursuance of order dated 24.10.2017, an affidavit has been filed by learned State counsel in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

Vide order dated 24.10.2017, a direction was issued to the respondents to reconsider the case of the petitioner. It was also directed that in case other similarly situated persons have been regularised in view of the policy, then the claim of the petitioner be also considered. In pursuance of that order, a speaking order has been passed by the respondents, but the claim of the petitioner for regularisation has not been considered on the ground that the matter is pending before the Division Bench in CWP No.17206 of 2014 titled as Yogesh Tyagi and another Vs. State of Haryana and others.

In case there is dispute as to which policy is applicable to the case of the petitioner and other similarly situated persons have been regularised, the services of the petitioner be regularised subject to decision of Yogesh Tyagi's case (supra).

The contempt petition is disposed of.

In case after decision of Yogesh Tyagi's case (supra), the petitioner is aggrieved in any manner, she is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

29.01.2018

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No