

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Arbitration Case No.44 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.09.2018

Moti Lal

.....Petitioner

versus

Ajay Kumar Jain and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present : Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Advocate for
Mr. B.Diwakar, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Kulbhushan Sharma, Advocate, for the respondents.

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J.(oral)

The petitioner has filed this petition under section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for appointment of sole arbitrator to adjudicate upon the disputes and differences between the parties.

2. Though learned counsel for the respondents tried to resist but could not dispute the fact that the agreement between the parties contains an arbitration clause and they have failed to appoint an arbitrator with consent.

3. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mukul Mudgal, former Chief Justice of this Court, is hereby appointed as sole arbitrator to adjudicate upon the disputes and differences between the parties. The fees shall be as per the Chandigarh Arbitration Centre (CAC) (Administrative Cost and Arbitrator's Fees) Rules, 2014 or as may be settled by the parties and the arbitrator.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

21.09.2018

ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	√Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No√