

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

ARB-54-2011 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 09.02.2018

Punjab State Industrial Development Corporation

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajinder Gupta and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present:- Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Suri, Advocate,
for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Shekhar Verma, Advocate,
for respondent No. 3.

* * * *

S.J. VAZIFDAR, C.J. (ORAL)

CM-25734-CII-2017

Leave is granted to place on record the amended memo of parties alongwith Annexures R-3/A to R-3/C filed on behalf of respondent No. 3 and the application is allowed subject to all just exceptions.

ARB-54-2011

This is a petition under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for the appointment of an arbitrator.

2. The respondents agree that the arbitrator nominated by respondent No. 3 will constitute a nomination on behalf of all the respondents. The nomination, therefore, of the learned arbitrator by the respondents is confirmed. The petitioner has no objection to the same either in view of the respondents having agreed to the same.

3. The learned arbitrators appointed by both the parties are requested to appoint a presiding arbitrator as expeditiously as possible and to proceed with the reference.

4. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE

09.02.2018

Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No