

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

THURSDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF JANUARY,
TWO THOUSAND AND EIGHTEEN
PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE T.RAJANI

CRLP .NO: 24659 of 2017

Between:

Nekkanti Venkateshwara Rao S/o N. Subba Rao, R/o Flat No. 302, Starlite Apartments, Patwari Enclave,
Opp: IDPL Colony, Hyderabad.

Petitioner/Accused No.3

AND

The State of Telangana, rep. by Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad.

Respondent/Complainant

Petition under Sections 437 & 439 of Cr.P.C. praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein, the High Court may be pleased to grant bail to the petitioner by directing his release in COR. No. 174 of 2016 on the file of the Prohibition and Excise Station, Uppal on such terms and conditions as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI K.SURENDER

Counsel for the Respondent : PUBLIC PROSECUTOR (TS)

The Court made the following ORDER:

“This Criminal Petition is filed seeking for grant of bail to the petitioner, who is accused No.3 in C.O.R. No.174 of 2016 on the file of Prohibition and Excise Station, Uppal.

2. The offences alleged are under Section 8(c) R/w.22(c) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State, and perused the record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this petitioner was arrayed as accused, based on the confession of accused No.2 and that accused No.2 was already enlarged on bail by virtue of the orders of the learned Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Cyberabad, Ranga Reddy District at L.B. Nagar in CrI.M.P. No.2339 of 2017. Learned Public Prosecutor also does not refute the submission of the petitioner's counsel, that the petitioner also stands on the same footing as that of other accused.

5. Hence, considering that the accused No.2, on whose confession the petitioner herein was arrayed as accused, was enlarged on bail, the Criminal Petition is allowed. Accordingly, the petitioner/accused No.3 is directed to be enlarged on bail, on condition of his executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of

the Special Judicial First Class Magistrate Prohibition and Excise-cum-XII Metropolitan Magistrate at L.B. Nagar, Ranga Reddy district.

As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.”

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

for ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To

1. The Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Cyberabad, at L.B. Nagar.
2. The Special Judicial First Class Magistrate, Prohibition and Excise Cum XII Metropolitan Magistrate, L.B. Nagar, Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Superintendent, Cherlapally Central Prison, RR District.
4. The Station House officer, Prohibition and Excise Station, Uppal
5. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor (TG), High Court of Judicature, at Hyderabad (OUT)
6. One CC to Sri K. Surender, Advocate (OPUC)
7. One spare copy.



HIGH COURT

**AB
DRAFTED ON 19-1-2019**

TRJ

DATE: 18-02-2018

ORDER

CRL.P. NO. 24659 OF 2017

BAIL

