

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.V.BHATT

C.R.P. No.7197 of 2017

ORDER:

Heard Mr.G.Anandam, counsel for petitioner.

Inspite of service of notice, no representation for respondents.

The 1st defendant is the revision petitioner. The 2nd respondent (*Are Swamy*) filed suit for declaration of title and setting aside the sale deed said to have been executed by 2nd respondent's father in favour of the revision petitioner herein.

Be that as it may. In the suit filed for declaration of title, the revision petitioner prayed for sending the document for expert's opinion. The learned trial Judge in the considered view of this Court examined the record and also on whom the burden rests, rejected the prayer in I.A.No.290 of 2017.

I have perused the record and I am convinced that the reasons recorded by trial court did not warrant interference of this Court under Article 227 of Constitution of India. Hence, the writ petition fails and dismissed accordingly. No order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending, shall stand closed.

S. V. BHATT, J

Dt: 12.02.2018
dv

