

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.V.SESHA SAI

W.P.No.44892 of 2018

ORDER:-

Heard learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Home for the respondents.

2. In the present Writ Petition challenge is to the action of the respondents in opening and continuing the rowdy-sheet against the petitioner herein on the file of the 4th respondent-Station House Officer, P.S.Chandrayanagutta.

3. According to the learned Counsel for the petitioner, the questioned action is highly illegal, arbitrary, unreasonable and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India besides being opposed to the very spirit and object of the provisions of Police Standing Order 601. In elaboration, it is further submitted by the learned Counsel for the petitioner that unless the necessary ingredients of Clauses (a) to (i) of Police Standing Order 601 are present in the given case, a rowdy sheet cannot be opened. It is also the submission of the learned Counsel for the petitioner that there is no conviction order against the petitioner herein so far in any one of the crimes registered.

4. On the other hand, it is submitted by the learned Government Pleader that in view of the involvement of the petitioner herein in the criminal cases, it has become incumbent on the part of the respondent-Police authorities to open a rowdy-sheet and continue the same. It is also the submission of the learned Government Pleader that in order to have a close watch

on the movements of the petitioner herein, the rowdy-sheet is being continued against the petitioner herein.

5. The provisions of Police Standing Order 601 are penal in nature, as such, strict adherence to the mandatory requirements, as stipulated therein, is necessary. Unless the necessary ingredients of Clauses (a) to (i) of the Police Standing Order 601 do exist in a given case, a rowdy-sheet cannot be opened against an individual in a casual and mechanical manner, otherwise, the same tantamounts to invasion into the fundamental right guaranteed to a citizen under Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

6. In this context, it may be appropriate to refer to a judgment of this Court in *M.MALLA REDDY v. STATE OF TELANGANA AND OTHERS*¹. Para 10 of the said judgment reads as under:-

“Rowdy-sheet cannot be opened in a mechanical, routine and cavalier manner and great care, caution and circumspection are required to be observed for opening of the rowdy-sheet as the same undoubtedly touches the personal liberty of the citizen and has a considerable impact on the fundamental rights as enshrined under Chapter-III of the Constitution of India. The State and its instrumentalities are the custodians of the statutory and constitutional rights of the citizens. Parliament and the State Legislatures make the laws, keeping in view the betterment and welfare of the people and the authorities functioning under the same laws have the holy and sacred obligation to discharge their duties keeping in view the object and intention behind the said laws made by the Legislature. Any deviation and breach of the same would render the actions invalid and void. The actions of the

¹ 2016(1) ALD (CrL) 591

authorities should necessarily be in the direction of creating and strengthening the confidence of the people in the system, lest anarchy prevails, which would never be in the interest of the democratic system which is guided by the rule of law. Every action of the authorities should be in consonance with the basic structure of the Constitution of India which is the dream of the founding fathers of our Magna Carta. The action which is under challenge in these Writ Petitions is required to be examined, assessed and adjudicated in the light of the above issued.”

7. As observed supra, unless the necessary ingredients of clauses (a) to (i) of Police Standing Order 601 are present in a given case, a rowdy-sheet cannot be opened.

8. Having heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the Writ Petition, leaving it open for the petitioner herein to submit a representation/application before the 5th respondent/Deputy Commissioner of Police, requesting for deletion of his name from the rowdy-sheet, within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this Order. If any such representation/application is made, within the stipulated time, the same be considered and appropriate Orders be passed/appropriate action be taken, strictly in accordance with law, and keeping in view the observations made supra, within a period of six weeks thereafter.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

A.V.Sesha Sai, J

Date:27.12.2018
smr