

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T.SUNIL CHOWDARY

Tr.C.M.P.No.905 of 2016

ORDER:

This petition is filed under Section 24 of C.P.C. seeking to withdraw F.C.O.P.No.188 of 2016 from the file of the Family Court-cum-IX Additional District and Sessions Court, Rajamahendravaram, and transfer the same to the file of the Family Court, Visakhapatnam.

2. In spite of service of notice, the respondent did not choose to appear and oppose the petition. Hence, this Court is inclined to pass orders on merits.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. A perusal of the record reveals that the marriage of the petitioner was performed with the respondent on 18.12.2014 at Padmasri Function Hall, Visakhapatnam, as per Hindu Rites and Caste Customs. Immediately after the marriage, the petitioner joined the respondent to lead marital life. Out of lawful wedlock, the petitioner and respondent were blessed with one son. Due to one reason or the other, disputes arose between the petitioner and the respondent; therefore, the petitioner has been residing at her parents' house in Visakhapatnam. While things stood thus, the respondent filed F.C.O.P.No.188 of 2016, under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, on the file of the Family Court-cum-IX Additional District and Sessions Court, Rajamahendravaram, against the petitioner for restitution of conjugal rights.

5. It is the case of the petitioner that she is facing much difficulty to attend the Family Court at Rajamahendravaram along with her son.

6. While deciding the petitions of this nature, the Court has to take into consideration the inconvenience likely to be caused to the parties to the proceedings, more particularly, to the wife and children. As per the principle enunciated in **T.Gayatri Devi v. Dr. Tallepaneni Sreekanth¹, Rachna Kanodia v. Anuk Kanodia²** and **Sumita Singh v. Kumar Sanjay and another³**, the paramount consideration, in transfer of matrimonial cases, is the convenience of the wife.

7. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also the principle enunciated in the cases cited supra, I am of the considered view that the relief sought by the petitioner deserves to be granted.

8. In the result, the Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is allowed. F.C.O.P.No.188 of 2016 is withdrawn from the file of the Family Court-cum-IX Additional District and Sessions Court, Rajamahendravaram, and transferred to the file of the Family Court, Visakhapatnam, for disposal in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

9. Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition shall stand closed.

T.SUNIL CHOWDARY, J

Date: 25.09.2018
Ivd

¹ 2013 (6) ALT 42 (SC)

² 2001 (7) Supreme 96

³ AIR 2002 SC 396