

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE M.SEETHARAMA MURTI**

**WRIT PETITION No.42182 OF 2018**

**ORDER:**

This Writ Petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed by the petitioners seeking verbatim the following relief:

***“to issue order or direction more particularly, one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents and its men in trying to demolish and dispossess from our properties namely property AC sheet roof one shop in D.No.9-112 (9.92) with Asst.No.5003 belongs to me, ACC shed with one shop in D.No.9-111 (old 9-92) Asst.No.5002 belongs to 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner and ACC sheet shed with shop in D.No.9-114(9-93 old) in total extent of 0.03 cts. Of land in R.S.No.244/p, situated in Bandar road, Chalamaiah street, Kanuru village, Penamaluru Mandal, Krishna District, for the purpose of road widening without any prior notice or publication as contemplated under law is arbitrary, illegal, whimsical, violative of Articles 14, 21, 19(1)(g) and 300 A of Constitution of India and in violation of statutory provisions of law and in utter breach of principles of natural justice and violation of provisions of the Land Acquisition Act, 2013 and consequently direct the respondents not to demolish and dispossess our properties namely property AC sheet roof one shop in D.No.9-112 (9.92) with Asst.No.5003 belongs to me, ACC shed with one shop in D.No.9-111 (old 9-92) Asst.No.5002 belongs to 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner and ACC sheet shed with shop in D.No.9-114(9-93 old) in total extent of 0.03 cts. Of land in R.S.No.244/p, situated in Bandar road, Chalamaiah street, Kanuru village, Penamaluru Mandal, Krishna District, in the interest of justice and pass such other order or orders as the Hon'ble Court may deems fit and proper in the interest of justice”.***

2. I have heard the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioners; of the learned Government Pleader for Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department (AP), appearing for the first respondent; of the learned Standing Counsel for A.P. Capital Regional Development Authority (CRDA), appearing for the second respondent; and, of the learned Standing Counsel for Municipal

Corporation of Vijayawada, appearing for the third respondent. I have perused the material record.

3. From the facts and submissions, it is discernible that in the process of widening of a road to 120 feet, the subject properties of the petitioners situated at Bandar Road are being affected. The grievance of the petitioners is this: 'The possession of the petitioners over their properties is being sought to be interfered with highhandedly and without following the procedure established by law. Attempts to demolish the properties for the purpose of road widening are being made. The officials of the respondents are taking advantage of the weekend holidays. They are frequently coming to the properties; and, they even made a marking on the properties indicating the extent of the properties that is required to be demolished for the purpose of road widening.'

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that if the respondents are directed to follow the procedure established by law, the ends of justice would be met.

5. Learned Standing Counsel for the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent Municipal Corporation would submit that the Corporation is not concerned with the subject properties as the properties are situated within Kanuru Village of Penamaluru Mandal, that is, outside its jurisdictional limits.

6. Learned Standing Counsel for the second respondent would submit that the second respondent who is the requisitioning authority and the other respondents concerned would follow the procedure established by law before the road widening activity is undertaken. He also submits that a notice is issued to the petitioners to submit the

approved building permits and copies of documents relating to the properties and that the petitioners are obliged to submit the same to enable the authorities concerned to proceed further in the matter.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners in reply would submit that necessary documents would be submitted. It is also submitted that a common representation was already made to the second respondent by the petitioners and others, who are similarly placed, and that a copy of the same is also personally submitted to the second respondent, the Commissioner, Andhra Pradesh CRDA.

8. Recording the submissions, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioners over the subject properties in the process of road widening except by following the procedure established by law. Further, within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the petitioners are required to submit necessary documents, as sought for, in the notice issued by the Commissioner for appropriate consideration by the authority concerned.

There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous Petitions pending, if any, in this Writ Petition shall stand closed.

**JUSTICE M.SEETHARAMA MURTI**

Date: 22.11.2018

**Note:-** Furnish C.C. by 28.11.2018.

B/O  
vhh

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE M.SEETHARAMA MURTI**



**WRIT PETITION No.42036 OF 2018**

**DATE: 22.11.2018**

vhb