

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.V. BHATT

C.R.P.Nos.1587 & 1708 OF 2013

COMMON ORDER:

The defendant is revision petitioner in these revisions. He filed I.A.Nos.388 and 387 of 2012 respectively in O.S.No.235 of 2003 for reopening the evidence of plaintiff and recalling P.Ws.1 to 3 for further cross examination.

The learned trial Judge through the orders under revisions, by assigning reasons, dismissed both the interlocutory applications. Hence, the revisions.

The very objection required to be considered while disposing of the interlocutory applications is considered by the trial Court and the orders under revisions are passed. This Court, after perusing the material, is satisfied that the orders under challenge do not warrant interference under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

The revisions are, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, stand closed.

14th September, 2018

Lrkm

S.V.BHATT, J