

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.V.SESHA SAI

WRIT PETITION No.37129 OF 2018

ORDER :

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Home, apart from perusing the entire material on record.

When the matter is taken up, written instructions, dated 13.10.2018, furnished by the Sub Inspector of Police, Madanapalli II Police Station, are placed on record by the learned Government Pleader. The said instructions, to the extent of their relevance to the present Writ Petition, read as under:

" It is submitted that as per the station records, as of now, no criminal cases are pending against the petitioner and his daughter-in-law the 3rd respondent on the file of Madanapalli II Town Police Station, Chittoor District.

It is submitted that the contention of the petitioner that the respondent No.2 Station House Officer, Madanarah, II Town Police Station, Chittoor District pressurized the petitioner and his family members to pay Rs.5.lakhs to the 3rd respondent H.Sudha on or before 31.10.2.018 is incorrect, baseless, far from truth and has been created for the purpose of filing the present Writ Petition. It is submitted that the 2nd respondent did not interfere with the matrimonial life between the petitioner's son and his wife 3rd respondent nor the 2nd respondent pressurized the petitioner to pay the alleged Rs.5.lakhs to the 3rd respondent on or before 31.10.2018 at any point of time.

It is submitted that the contentions of the petitioner that the 3rd respondent hand-in-glove with the 2nd respondent and harassed the petitioner physically is incorrect and baseless.

It is submitted that the allegation of the petitioner that on 06.09.2018 the staff of the 2nd respondent at the instance of the 3rd respondent created galata in front of the petitioner's house is incorrect and baseless.

It is incorrect to say that the staff of the 2nd respondent harassed, abused the petitioner and his family members in filthy language and threatened to pay Rs.5.lakhs to the 3rd respondent on or before 31.10.2018, hence denied.

It is further submitted that the petitioner contended that the 2nd respondent and staff harassed the petitioner and his family members physically and also interfered with the civil disputes, due to which the petitioner not attending his work is incorrect and baseless. It is submitted that the 2nd respondent or

staff did not harass or interfere with the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members and never threatened the petitioner to pay the alleged amount of Rs.5.lakhs to the 3rd respondent at any point of time. The said allegations invented for the sake of filing the present Writ. Petition.

It is submitted that as per the writ averments, there was a matrimonial dispute between the petitioner's son and 3rd respondent as husband and wife and the petitioner's son got issued a legal notice on 06.04.2018 to the 3rd respondent. The petitioner unnecessarily involving the police into civil litigation”.

By placing on record the above written instructions, Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

25th October, 2018
Tsy



A.V.SESHA SAI, J