

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY**  
**AND**  
**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GUDISEVA SHYAM PRASAD**

**WRIT PETITION No.35833 of 2018**

**04.10.2018**

Between:

Desireddy Nagireddy

..Petitioner

and

The State of Telangana,  
represented by its Principal Secretary,  
Revenue Department, Land Acquisition,  
Secretariat, Hyderabad and others

..Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner: Mr.Y.Ravindra Kumar

Counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 4: Government Pleader for  
Land Acquisition (T.S.)

Counsel for respondent No.5: Mr.J.Sreenivasa Rao,  
standing counsel for Singareni Collieries Co., Ltd.,

Counsel for respondent No.6: --

Counsel for respondent No.7: Mr.J.Anil Kumar,  
standing counsel for Legal Services Authority

The Court made the following:

**ORDER: (Per the Hon'ble Sri Justice C.V.Nagarjuna Reddy)**

This Writ Petition is filed for the following substantive relief:

“to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the inaction of the respondents in not disbursing the requisite compensation amount i.e., a cheque for an amount of Rs.19,20,631/- (Rupees nineteen lakhs twenty thousand six hundred and thirty one only) and also accrued interest at 18 per cent per annum pursuant to Award passed by the Lok Adalath Bench at Khammam, i.e., in Lok Adalat Case No.499 of 2016 in LAOP.No.619 of 2014 on the file of the Hon'ble District Judge, Khammam, dated 04.04.2016, for land to a total extent of Acs.489.04 guntas of land situated at Kompally Village, Sattupally Mandal, Khammam District acquired by Singareni Collieries as illegal arbitrary in violation of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India Land Acquisition Act, 1894 and consequently to direct the respondents to immediately disburse the above mentioned compensation amount with applicable interest immediately forthwith or to consider passing fresh Awards under the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 and pass such other order or orders...”

2. Mr.Y.Ravindra Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, has invited our attention to the Division Bench Judgment, dated 30.04.2018, in W.P.No.13976 of 2018 and batch, and

submitted that the issues raised in this writ petition are squarely covered by the said Judgment.

3. Mr.J.Anil Kumar, learned standing counsel for the Legal Services Authority appearing for respondent No.7, has submitted that in W.P.No.21315 of 2017, this Court has ordered *status quo* with respect to disbursement of the compensation in pursuance of Lok Adalat Award, dated 26.07.2016, in L.A.O.P.No.619 of 2014.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that though the aforementioned L.A.O.P. in which the Lok Adalat has passed awards is common, separate awards were passed with reference to individual claims and that the awards in respect of which W.P.No.21315 of 2017 was filed do not include award No.499 of 2016, which is questioned in this writ petition.

5. On verification of the aforementioned interim order, the learned standing counsel for the Legal Services Authority appearing for respondent No.7, has fairly agreed with the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner. He has also fairly conceded that the aforementioned Division Bench

Judgment, dated 30.04.2018, in W.P.No.13976 of 2018 and batch, covers this case as well.

6. In the light of the above, this Writ Petition is allowed as prayed for.

7. As a sequel to allowing the writ petition, IA.No.1 of 2018, filed by the petitioner for interim relief stands disposed of as infructuous.

---

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

---

GUDISEVA SHYAM PRASAD, J

04<sup>th</sup> October, 2018  
GHN

