

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.V. BHATT

C.R.P.Nos.5323 & 5272 OF 2018

COMMON ORDER:

The plaintiff in O.S.No.86 of 2014 in the Court of the Additional Junior Civil judge, Husnabad is the revision petitioner in these revisions. He filed I.A.Nos.211 and 212

of 2018 respectively in O.S.No.86 of 2014 for reopening the evidence of plaintiff (P.W.1) and receiving the documents.

The learned trial Judge through the orders under revisions, by assigning reasons, dismissed both the interlocutory applications. Hence, the revisions.

The very objection required to be considered while disposing of the interlocutory applications is considered by the trial Court and the orders under revisions are passed. This Court, after perusing the material, is satisfied that the orders under challenge do not warrant interference under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

The revisions are, accordingly, dismissed. It is made clear that the all the objections available in this behalf for the plaintiff, the burden claims to have been discharged by the plaintiff and the onus of the respondents failed to discharge, are left open for consideration by the trial Court. The trial Court is directed to dispose of the suit not later than two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, stand closed.

26th September, 2018

Lrkm

S.V.BHATT, J