

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GUDISEVA SHYAM PRASAD

WP.No.31773 of 2016

Date:19.07.2018

Between.

Madiga Nagendra,
S/o Madiga Venkata Ramudu

.....Petitioner

And.

The Lok Adalat Bench at Adoni,
Kurnool District, reptd by its
Chairman and two others.

.....Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner: Mr. T.Kumar Babu

Counsel for respondent No.1: Mr. J.Anil Kumar

Counsel for respondent No.2: Mr. Kiran Tirumalasetti

For Mr. K.V.Raghuveer

Counsel for respondent No.3: None appeared

The Court made the following:

ORDER: (*per Hon'ble Sri Justice C.V.Nagarjuna Reddy*)

This Writ Petition is filed for issue of *Certiorari* to quash award, dated 16.7.2016, in O.S.No.3 of 2015 on the file of respondent No.1.

We have heard Mr. T.Kumar Babu, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. J.Anil Kumar, learned standing counsel for A.P. Legal Services Authority representing respondent No.1 and Mr. Kiran Tirumalasetti, learned counsel representing Mr. K.V.Raghuveer, learned counsel for respondent No.2,


The petitioner pleaded that the land comprising the schedule of the afore-mentioned suit belongs to him; that in the said suit filed by respondent No.2 against respondent No.3 for specific performance of agreement of sale in respect of the land claimed by the petitioner, the latter has filed an application for his impleadment under Order-I Rule-10 C.P.C; that evidently, in collusion with the petitioner's counsel, respondent No.2 has managed to report the said application as not pressed; and that, on the next day itself, the dispute was referred to respondent No.1, which passed the impugned award.

After hearing the learned counsel for all the parties, we are of the opinion that as the petitioner is not a party to the impugned Lok Adalat award, the same would not affect his

rights, if any, over the schedule property in respect of which the impugned Lok Adalat award has been passed. If the petitioner so chooses, he is entitled to avail appropriate legal remedies to protect his purported rights over the schedule property and in such event, the impugned Lok Adalat award shall not come in his way.

Subject to these observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

As a sequel, order, dated 20.9.2016, is vacated WPMP.No.39294 of 2016 and WVMP.No.4726 of 2017 shall stand dismissed as infructuous.



JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

JUSTICE GUDISEVA SHYAM PRASAD

19th July, 2018

DR