

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN

C.R.P.Nos.4904 and 4907 of 2018

Common Order:

The revisions arise out of the orders of the Court below refusing to reopen the evidence and for summoning the Bank Manager for production of certain documents to be sent for examination by the handwriting expert.

2. Heard Mr. S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. K.S. Murthy, learned counsel for the respondent.

3. This is not a case where the suit promissory note requires to be examined by handwriting expert. In the written statement, the execution of the promissory note way back in the year 2012 for a different transaction, is accepted. What is pleaded in the written statement is total discharge. Therefore, the question of referring the documents for examination by the handwriting expert does not arise. Hence, the revisions are dismissed. Pending applications, if any, shall stand closed. No costs.

V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN, J.

28th September, 2018.
Ak

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN

C.R.P.Nos.4904 and 4907 of 2018
(Common Order)



28th September, 2018.
(Ak)