

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P. NAVEEN RAO

CONTEMPT CASE No.1123 OF 2017

Dated:16.02.2018

Between:

G. Venkateswara Rao, S/o. Late G.Ram
Mohana Rao, aged 43 years,
R/o. D.No.7-35-B, Weavers Colony,
Venkatagiri, SPSR Nellore District

.. Petitioner

And

Sri Vidya Sankara Bharathi Swamiji,
S/o. R. Gopala Krishna Murthy,
Aged 37 years, Peethadhipathi,
Sri Sri Sri Pushpagiri Jagatguru
Shankacharya Maha Samsthanam
(Sri Pushpagiri Math), having Branch
Office at 1-10-176-B, Begumpet,
Hyderabad and others

.. Respondents



The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P. NAVEEN RAO

CONTEMPT CASE No.1123 OF 2017

ORDER:

By order dated 18.04.2017, this Court issued the following directions in W.P.M.P.No.17131 of 2017 in W.P.No.13810 of 2017:

“Petitioner contends that though he was regularly recruited as Supervisor/Receptionist, he was not allowed to discharge the duties and responsibilities with effect from 01.07.2016. There is no written communication or any decision including termination of his service. It is asserted that petitioner being a regular employee, he cannot be denied from attending to duties and there cannot be an oral termination. It is not known why the petitioner was not allowed to perform his duties.

Having regard to these peculiar facts, the Administrator, Sri Sri Sri Pushpagiri Jagatguru Shankaracharya Maha Samsthanam – 4th respondent is directed to permit the petitioner to work, if no order of termination is served on the petitioner by following the due process and if no other person is appointed in the meantime.”

2. Alleging non-compliance of the said directions, this Contempt Case is filed.

3. In terms of the said order, the petitioner should be continued in service provided no order of termination was served by following due process and no other person was appointed in the meantime.

4. Along with the counter affidavit, the respondents enclosed the appointment orders of Sri C.P.V. Ravindranth Kumar appointed on 01.08.2016, Sri C.M. Lokesh appointed on 05.08.2016 and Sri K.V. Kalyan appointed on 20.01.2017. These three appointments were made prior to the interim order passed by the Court.

5. Miss. G. Sudha, learned counsel for the respondents, furnished statement of bank account of the Charitable Trust. The statement of bank account would disclose payment of salary and allowances to these three persons, referred to above, evidencing their employment.

6. Because other persons were already appointed, it cannot be said that the direction of the Court is violated warranting continuation of contempt proceedings.

7. The Contempt Case is accordingly closed, and the contemnors are discharged from contempt proceedings.

Miscellaneous Applications, if any, filed in this Contempt Case shall stand closed.

Date:16.02.2018

KH

P. NAVEEN RAO, J

