

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
*FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH**

* HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N. BALAYOGI

+ WRIT PETITION No.23584 of 2017

% Date: 12-06-2018

Between:

1. Joseph Sriharsha & Mary Indrajya Educational Society, Plot No.102, High Court Colony, Vanasthalipuram, Hyderabad – 500 070, rep. by its Member & Hon. Chairman & Correspondent Dr. Rev. K.V.K. Rao.
2. St. Mary's Integrated Campus Hyderabad, Near Ramoji Film City, Behind Mount Opera, Deshmukhi (V), B. Pochampally Mandal, Yadadri District – 508 284, rep. by its Hon. Chairman & Correspondent Dr. Rev. K.V.K. Rao.
3. Dr. Rev. K.V.K. Rao, S/o. Late Venkaiah, Plot No.102, High Court Colony, Vanasthalipuram, Hyderabad – 500 070.

...Petitioners

Vs.

1. Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Hyderabad, rep. by its Registrar, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.
2. Telangana State Council for Higher Education Masab Tank, Hyderabad, rep. by its Member Secretary.
3. The Convener (TSPGCET-AC-SWIII) 2017, H.No.5-944/8, Suryavamshi Garden City, Pedda Amberpet (V) Hayath Nagar (M), Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.
4. The Convener (TSICET-AC-SWIII) 2017, H.No.5-944/8, Suryavamshi Garden City, Pedda Amberpet (V) Hayath Nagar (M), Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.
5. All India Council for Technical Education, New Delhi, Rep. by its Member Secretary.
6. The State of Telangana, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department, Secretariat Buildings, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

Respondents

! Counsel for the petitioners : Mr. Sricharan Telaprolu

^ Counsel for respondent No. 1: Mr. A. Abhishek Reddy

^ Counsel for Respondent No.5: Mr. K. Ramakanth Reddy

< GI ST:

> HEAD NOTE:

? Cases referred

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N. BALAYOGI

WRIT PETITION No.23579 of 2017

ORDER: (Per VRS,J.)

The petitioner has come up with the above writ petition seeking the following relief:

a) the JNTUH Affiliation Procedure and Regulations applicable from the academic year 2017-18 notified in December 2016 with reference to Regulation 3.25 read with regulation 5.4 providing for grant of affiliation for PG Programme(s) subject to grant of affiliation of the UG Programme in the concerned department and Annexure-III of the said Regulations prescribing guidelines for appointment of Adjunct faculty as illegal, unconstitutional, ultra vires and repugnant to the norms prescribed by the 5th respondents under All India Council for Technical Education Act, 1987 and the regulations made there under;

b) the inaction of the 1st respondent in concluding the process of affiliation to the 2nd petitioner institution in respect of Post Graduate MBA Course for the academic year 2017-18 pursuant to the application dt.08.03.2017 while issuing the impugned notice dt.18.05.2017 in proposing to place the petitioner college under No Admissions Status for Post Graduate courses as illegal arbitrary without jurisdiction and ultra vires the JNTU Affiliation Procedure Regulations 2017-18 and in violative of Article 14 and 19(1g) of the Constitution of India and consequently set aside the show cause notice dt.18.05.2017 and direct the respondent University to process the application of the petitioner institution for grant of affiliation to Post Graduate MBA course for the academic year 2017-18 submitted online on 18.03.2017 in accordance with the Affiliation Procedure and Regulations 2017-18 and conclude the process before the commencement of counselling duly granting opportunity to the petitioner institution for complying the deficiencies and grant affiliation to the Post Graduate MBA course for the intake as approved by the 5th respondent duly considering the reply submitted on 24.05.2017 submitted by the petitioner institution to the show cause notice and to allow the 2nd petitioner to make admissions for Post Graduate MBA course

2. Heard Mr. Sricharan Teaprolu, learned counsel for the petitioners Mr. A. Abhishek Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for the University and Mr. R. Ramakanth Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for AICTE.

3. The writ petition relates to affiliation for the academic year 2017-18. The petitioners came up with a challenge to the regulations and the refusal of the university to grant affiliation for certain courses.

4. This Court has not passed any interim order. The academic year is now over. Therefore, nothing survives for adjudication in the writ petition. Hence, the writ petition is closed leaving it open to the petitioners to raise all issues, if such issues arise, in the next academic year.

JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN

JUSTICE N. BALAYOGI

12th June, 2018
Js.



THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N. BALAYOGI

WRIT PETITION No.23584 of 2017

(Per VRS,J)



12th June, 2018

Js.

