

HON' BLE SRI JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT
&
HON' BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI

W.P. No. 26443 of 2018

ORDER:- (ORAL)

(Per Hon'ble Sri Justice Suresh Kumar Kait)

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue raised in the writ petition is ceased by the Apex Court, which fact is not disputed by the learned Government Pleader for Services appearing on behalf of the respondents.

The learned Government Pleader for Services has drawn the attention of this Court to the order dated 25.04.2018 in Conmt. Petition (C) No. 820 of 2017 passed by the Supreme Court whereby the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission was directed to revise the seniority list in respect of all the vacancies available and arising from 07.03.2002. Consequently, the respondents revised the list and submitted the same before the Supreme Court.

The case of the petitioner is that when the aforementioned direction was given by the Apex Court, the respondents were supposed to seek objections, however no objections have been sought, as such, the petitioner is apprehending that the respondents may disturb his seniority. Subsequently, the Apex Court, vide its order dated 15.05.2018 in Conmt. Petition (C) No. 820 of 2017, while ordering notices in all fresh applications, gave liberty to the parties to file

their objections before the next date of hearing i.e. 19.07.2018. Thereafter, the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide order dated 24.07.2018 in Conmt. Petition (C) No. 1700 of 2017 in C.A.No. 5099 of 2006, directed the State of Telangana and the State of Andhra Pradesh to maintain *Status Quo* as on the said date. In pursuance of the said direction, only some of the parties have filed their objections, but the petitioner has not yet filed.

The learned Government Pleader for Services submits that the parties, who have not filed objections, are at liberty to file their objections, if any, as per the order of the Apex Court, and on such objections being filed, the respondents will consider the objections and apprise the same to the Apex Court in this regard.

In view of the factual situation, we are inclined to dispose of the writ petition directing the respondents to retain and continue the petitioner in his respective post.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

It is needless to mention that this order will be subject to the outcome of the issue pending before the Apex Court.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any pending, shall stand disposed of as infructuous.

03.08.2018

SURESH KUMAR KAIT, J

bcj

ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI, J