

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
SRI THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.V.BHATT**

WRIT APPEAL No.778 OF 2017

JUDGMENT: (Per the Hon'ble the Chief Justice Sri Thottathil B. Radhakrishnan)

This appeal is against an interlocutory order passed in the course of writ petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant, who is 4th respondent in the writ petition. We have also heard the learned Government Pleader for Revenue for respondents 5 and 6, learned Government Pleader for Municipal Administration and Urban Development for respondent No.4 and learned counsel for contesting unofficial respondents in this writ appeal.

3. The writ petition was instituted in 2012. The interlocutory order was issued on 12.10.2012. That interlocutory order has been continued by refusing to vacate it. This is the effect of the interlocutory order, which is challenged through this writ appeal. At this distance of time, it may not be appropriate for us to interfere with the status as of now, particularly when the order impugned disclosed reasons for issuing it, though

the learned counsel for the appellant submits that the counter affidavit was placed to the writ petition.

4. For the aforesaid reasons, we do not find it necessary to disturb the impugned interlocutory order as of now. However, we clarify that the learned Single Judge will sympathetically consider any request of the appellant for expeditious disposal of the writ petition.

5. The writ appeal is ordered accordingly. No order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, stand closed.



THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, CJ

S.V.BHATT, J

27th August, 2018

Stp/Lrkm