

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI**

**WRIT PETITION No.9086 OF 2003**

**ORDER:**

1. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioner, would submit that the petitioner is entitled for notional increments, for his out of employment period, but the respondents are not granting the increments to him.

2. Learned Standing Counsel, appearing on behalf of the respondent – A.P.S.R.T.C., contended that the petitioner is not entitled for any such notional increments, in view of the law laid down by the Apex Court in ***A.P.S.R.T.C. and another Vs. S. Narsa Goud*<sup>1</sup>**.

3. This Court, having considered the submissions made by the parties, is of the considered view that no relief can be granted to the petitioner in view of the law laid down by the Apex Court in ***Narsa Goud*<sup>1</sup>**.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

5. Consequently, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

---

**ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI, J**

Date: 18.12.2018.

Dsh

---

<sup>1</sup> 2003 (2) SCC 212

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI**

**183**



**WRIT PETITION No.9086 OF 2003**

Date. 18.12.2018

**DSH**

17012019, 19012019