

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAJASHEKER REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.23960 OF 2018

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed challenging the action of the official respondents in not closing the Rowdy Sheet against the petitioner and also in not considering the representation of the petitioner dated 10.06.2018 requesting to close down the Rowdy Sheet.

The case of the petitioner is that a Rowdy Sheet is opened against the petitioner in the year 2002 and the same is being continued though the petitioner is acquitted in some of the crimes. The petitioner also made representation dated 10.06.2018 to the competent authority to close down the Rowdy Sheet opened against him. As the same is not being considered, present writ petition is filed.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner states that as petitioner is staying at Abudhabi and obtained Passport from the said place, question of continuing Rowdy Sheet does not arise, since he is not residing here.

On the other hand learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home produced written instructions stating that as many as ten cases were pending against the petitioner vide Crime No.129/2002, under Sections 448, 506 IPC; Crime No.36/2002 under Sections 506, 326 r/w.34 IPC; Crime No.04/2002 under Section 324 IPC; Crime No.84/2005 under Section 326 IPC; Crime No.66/2007

under Section 448, 324, 506, 427 IPC; Crime No.742/2003 under Section 324 IPC; Crime No.766/2003 under Section 324 IPC; Crime No.42/2004 under Section 25(1); Crime No.191/2017 under Section 41(a), 107 Cr.P.C. and Petty Case No.1311/2011. He further states that since the representation dated 10.06.2018 stated to be filed by the petitioner goes to show that it is not filed by the petitioner, question of reviewing the Rowdy Sheet opened against the petitioner does not arise.

This Court had an occasion to deal with the similar issue in WP.No.23765/2018, dated 11.07.2018.

In view of the same, the writ petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to make fresh representation stating the above facts to the competent authority and on such filing the competent authority is directed to consider the same and take action in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of representation. No order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in the writ petition, shall stand closed.

A.RAJASHEKER REDDY, J

09.08.2018
t k.