

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI**

WRIT PETITION No.22765 of 2018

ORDER: (ORAL)

(Per Suresh Kumar Kait, J)

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue raised in the writ petition is ceased by the Supreme Court which fact is not disputed by the learned Government Pleader for Services appearing on behalf of the respondents.

The learned Government Pleader for Services has drawn our attention to the order dated 25.04.2018 in Conmt. Petition (C) No.820 of 2017 passed by the Supreme Court whereby the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission was directed to revise the seniority list in respect of all the vacancies available and arising from 07.03.2002. Consequently, the respondents revised the list and submitted the same before the Supreme Court.

The case of the petitioners is that when the aforementioned direction was given by the Supreme Court, the respondents were supposed to seek objections, however no objections have been sought, as such, the petitioners are apprehending that the respondents may disturb their seniority. Subsequently, the Supreme Court, vide its order dated 15.05.2018 in Conmt. Petition (C) No.820 of 2017, while ordering notices in all fresh applications, gave liberty to the parties to file their objections before the next date of hearing i.e. 19.07.2018. It appears, petitioners herein have not filed their objections.

The learned Government Pleader for Services submits that the petitioners are at liberty to file their objections, if any, as per the order

of the Supreme Court, and on such objections being filed, the respondents will consider the objections and apprise the same to the Supreme Court in this regard.

In view of the factual situation, we are inclined to dispose of the writ petition directing the respondents to retain and continue the petitioners in their posts.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

It is needless to mention that this order will be subject to the outcome of the issue pending before the Supreme Court.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand disposed of as infructuous.



SURESH KUMAR KAIT, J

ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI, J

July 04, 2018
MRR