

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.V.BHATT

W.P. No.16859 of 2018

ORDER:

The petitioners complain inaction in considering and disposing of either stay petition filed by the petitioners or the revision filed challenging mutation granted in favour of 5th respondent.

This Court in ***Kuruva Hanumanthamma v. Prl. Secy., Revenue Dept., Hyderabad and others***¹ has interpreted and laid down law on the jurisdiction of revisional authority under Section 9 of the A.P.Rights in Land and Pattadar Passbooks Act, 1971 (for short 'the Act'). The petitioners once bring an illegality to the notice of revisional authority, the revisional authority takes up expeditiously either revision or stay application filed by the petitioners and orders are passed.

This Court normally does not consider directing the statutory authorities to perform their function, which the authorities are called upon to perform by the enactment. In the case on hand, since four months have lapsed from the date of filing of revision, in the

¹ 2017 (6) ALT 449

fitness of things, the revision authority/respondent Nos.2 and 3 consider either the stay application or the revision filed by the petitioners as expeditiously as possible, preferably within four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. The petitioners are given liberty to file copy of this order along with memo for timely compliance by the revisional authority.

Writ petition is disposed of as indicated above. No order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending, shall stand closed.

Dt: 04.06.2018
dv



S.V. BHATT, J

