

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN
AND
HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE J.UMA DEVI

Writ Petition No.15350 of 2018

Order: (per V.Ramasubramanian, J.)

The petitioner has come up with the above writ petition challenging a possession notice under Section 13(2) of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002.

2. Heard Mr. M.K. Raj Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. There is no single reason as to why the petitioner should bypass the alternative remedy of appeal before the Debts Recovery Tribunal under Section 17 of the Securitisation Act, 2002. Therefore, leaving it open to the petitioner to work out his remedies before the Debts Recovery Tribunal, this writ petition is dismissed. The interlocutory applications, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed. No costs.

V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN, J.

J.UMA DEVI, J.

26th April, 2018.
Ak

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN
AND
HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE J.UMA DEVI

Writ Petition No.15350 of 2018
[per VRS, J.]



26th April, 2018.
(Ak)