

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.15369 OF 2018

DATED : 27.04.2018

Between :

Anishetty Charan Das S/o.late Laxminarayana,
Aged 58 yrs, Occu : Agriculture,
R/o.H.No.3-10-253/2, Reddy colony,
Eturunagaram, Warangal Urban District.

..
Petitioner

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep., by its Principal Secretary,
Revenue Department,
Secretariat Buildings,
Saifabad, Hyderabad & others.

..
Respondents



This court made the following :

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.15369 of 2018

ORDER :

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue.

2. Petitioner claims to be the owner and in possession of lands to an extent of Acs.14.20 guntas, Acs.15.15 guntas and Acs.14.34 guntas forming part of Sy.Nos.6, 7 and 40 respectively of Koyyaguda of Yellapuram Revenue Village, Eturunagaram Mandal, Jayashankar Bhupalpally District. According to petitioner in his absence from the village for various personal reasons, illegal entries were made in the revenue records deleting his name and included the name of unofficial respondent. Having come to know about the said illegal entries, petitioner filed appeal before the Sub-Collector, Mulugu-3rd respondent. The 3rd respondent vide his order dated 04.10.2017 dismissed the appeal on the ground that it is time barred. Aggrieved thereby, petitioner preferred revision before the Joint Collector, acknowledged by the office of Joint Collector on 02.11.2017. According to petitioner, he also filed an application to grant stay in the pending revision. Alleging inaction on the said revision filed and the application to grant stay, this writ petition is filed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks direction for early disposal of the revision as well as application for stay and he also requests to grant stay of all further proceedings pending consideration of revision.

4. The Court is not inclined to express any opinion on the merits of the issue. However, it is suffice to note that the appellate authority rejected appeal on the ground of inordinate delay.

5. In the facts of this case, it is just and equitable to direct the revisional authority to consider the revision and pass appropriate orders as warranted by law, after affording due opportunity to the rival parties. Since the revision is pending from November, 2017 the Joint Collector is directed to finalise the revision proceedings, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six (6) weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. For any reason the revisional authority cannot dispose of the revision, within the time fixed, or the respondent before the revisional authority does not cooperate for early disposal, he shall consider the application of the petitioner for grant of stay, as prayed before him.

6. With the above directions, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

P.NAVEEN RAO,J

27th April, 2018

Note : Issue c.c. in one week

B/o.
Rds