

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE U.DURGA PRASAD RAO

I.A.No.2 of 2018 in Crl.P.No.4909 of 2018

and

Crl.P.No.4909 of 2018

COMMON ORDER:

The *defacto* complainant/2nd respondent and her counsel Mr. K. Laxman Perumallu, are present. Petitioner/A1 and his counsel Sri M.V. Venu are present and petitioner/A2 is present and she is represented by her GPA. Both the parties are identified by their respective counsel.

- 2) Heard both sides and perused the petitions.
- 3) The *defacto* complainant filed a complaint and the same was registered as Crime No. 174/2015 of WPS, CCS, DD, Hyderabad and after investigation charge sheet was filed against A1 & A2 and case was registered as C.C.No. 568/2016 on the file of XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad.
- 4) At this stage, A1 & A2 filed Crl.P.No.4909 of 2018 before this Court seeking to quash the proceedings against them in C.C.No.568/2016.
- 5) Pending the Criminal Petition, both parties filed I.A.No.2 of 2018 seeking permission of this to compound the offences alleged in C.C.No.568/2016. In the said I.A, it was mentioned that both parties have amicably settled all their disputes at the intervention of elders and they are proposing to compound the offences involved in C.C.No.568/2016 and hence permission may be granted to them.

6) On enquiry by this Court, both the parties affirmed the contents in joint memo. The offence alleged in C.C.No.568/2016 is under Section 498-A IPC and Sections 3 & 4 of D.P. Act. Section 498-A IPC is also compoundable but with the permission of the Court vide Andhra Pradesh State Amendment Act 11 of 2003, section 2 w.e.f. 01.08.2003. Section 3 of D.P.Act is a non-compoundable offence. However, in *Manohar Singh vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and another*¹, the Apex Court while dealing with the question as to the compoundability of the offences under Section 498-A IPC and Section 4 of D.P.Act, after referring several decisions observed that though Section 498-A IPC and Section 4 of the D.P. Act were not compoundable, however, if there is a genuine compromise between husband and wife, criminal complaints arising out of matrimonial discord can be quashed, even if the offences alleged therein are non-compoundable, because such offences are personal in nature and do not have repercussions on the society unlike heinous offences like murder, rape, etc. If the High Court forms an opinion that it is necessary to quash the proceedings to prevent abuse of the process of any Court or to secure the ends of justice, the High Court can do so.

7) In the light of the exposition of the Hon'ble Apex Court, when the case on hand is vetted, Section 498-A IPC is compoundable under Section 320(2) Cr.P.C after lapse of a minimum period of three months from the date of request or application for compromise is made before a Court. In the instant case, the said period is not completed. However, in *Manohar*

¹ (2014) 13 SCC 75

Singh's case (1 supra), the Apex Court held that exercising power under Section 482 of the Code is not inhibited by Section 320 of the Code. Therefore and in view of parties have genuinely settled all their disputes and no additional purpose will be served by keeping them awaited for three months, the said requirement is dispensed with. Similarly, exercising the plenary power under Section 482 of the Code, the offence under Section 4 of the D.P Act, though not compoundable, is permitted to be compounded. It shall be noted, the instant case is a matrimonial dispute and purely a private one and the same has no impact on the society. Further, from the submission of both the parties, they have settled all their disputes and pending cases at the intervention of elders.

8) With the above observations, I.A.No.2 of 2018 is allowed and the parties are permitted to compound the offences involved in C.C.No.568/2016 on the file of XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad, the Criminal Petition No. 4909 of 2018 is allowed and the proceedings against the petitioners/A1 & A2 A5 in the said C.C.No.568/2016 are quashed and accordingly, they are acquitted.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

U.DURGA PRASAD RAO, J

Date: 27.04.2018
KA