

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO**

WRIT PETITION NO.14256 OF 2018

Dated 24<sup>th</sup> April 2018

Between:

Smt. Kanuri Ganga Bai, W/o.K.V.Subba Rao.

**...Petitioner**

And

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, A.P.Secretariat, Velagapudi, Guntur District and four others.

**...Respondents**

**The Court made the following:**



**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO**

WRIT PETITION NO.14256 OF 2018

**ORDER:**

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents.

2. Petitioner claims that she succeeded in O.S.No.343 of 2005 on the file of the Principal Junior Civil Judge, Tadepalligudem and in E.P.No.100 of 2006 and the suit schedule property was put to auction. The petitioner was successful purchaser and the sale was confirmed and sale certificate was issued to land to an extent of 0.88 ½ cents out of Ac.1.81 cents in RS.No.291 situated at Venkatrapalem Village, Tadepalligudem Mandal, West Godavari District. The property was also delivered to the petitioner. The petitioner then applied for issuance of pattadar passbook and title deeds and mutating her name in the revenue records. Representations were made by the petitioner. Alleging in action on the representations made, this Writ Petition is filed.

3. The A.P. Rights in Lands and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 requires particular procedure to be followed to make an application for mutation and to issue pattadar pass book and title deeds. Admittedly, so far no such application is filed. Reliance is placed only on the representations made. When specifically questioned, counsel for the petitioner sought to contend that Mee-Seva centre is not accepting applications under Form VI-A. Therefore, the petitioner could not file such application. However, no such complaint is lodged with the revenue authorities. Representation is only request for grant of mutation and issuance of pattadar pass book and title deeds. Therefore, it cannot be said that the

respondent-authorities are not inclined to act upon the representation warranting issuance of mandamus as sought for.

4. The Writ Petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to make application as warranted. It is needless to observe that if such application is filed, the Tahsildar concerned shall consider such application and furnish suitable reply as expeditiously as possible, preferably within eight (8) weeks from the date of receipt of such application. There shall be no order as to costs. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

24<sup>th</sup> April 2018  
RRB



**(P.NAVEEN RAO, J)**