

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P. NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No. 13818 of 2018

Date :19.4.2018

Between:

Smt Sarabhai Shanthamma
w/o Keshanna @ Somanna
65 years R/o Ghattu village and mandal
Jogulamba Gadwal district

Petitioner

And

The State of Telangana
Rep by its Principal Secretary
Revenue Department, Secretariat,
Hyderabad and others

Respondents

The Court made the following:



ORAL ORDER:

Petitioner claims that her husband was cultivating the lands belonging to one Basawa Raju and in view of his long standing tenancy, the Revenue Divisional Officer granted certificate of ownership under Section 38 E of A.P. (T.A) Tenancy & Agricultural Lands Act, 1950 for an extent of Ac. 12.29 guntas in Survey No. 277 of Ghattu village and mandal. Petitioner claims that after death of her husband, she inherited the subject property and she along with her sons is cultivating the same. Petitioner claims that pattadar pass book and title deeds are also issued to her. While so, third respondent/Revenue Divisional Officer passed orders dated 28.6.2014 recording the name of unofficial respondent. Questioning the said orders, petitioner filed revision, wherein second respondent-Joint Collector passed order dated 12.12.2014 directing to maintain status quo. At this stage, fourth respondent-Tahsildar issued notice dated 22.4.2017 requesting her to attend his office on 26.4.2017 along with title deeds, pattadar pass books and other registered documents. Questioning the said notice, this writ petition is filed.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that when order of status quo granted by second respondent –Joint Collector is in operation, the 4th respondent ought not to have issued the impugned notice.

3. Impugned notice dated 22.4.2017 is issued by Tahsildar calling for the petitioner to submit documents in support of his claim. Even before decision is made by competent authority, Court is not inclined to entertain writ petition against show cause notice. It is always open to petitioner to raise all objections, including objections raised herein in response to show cause notice. Accordingly, writ petition is dismissed leaving it open to petitioner to work out his remedies. No costs. Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, are closed.

P NAVEEN RAO,J

DATE:19-04-2018

TVK

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P. NAVEEN RAO



WRIT PETITION No. 13818 of 2018

Date :19.4.2018