

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.KESHA VA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.21088 OF 2015

ORDER:

1. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

2. The prayer sought in the Writ Petition is as under:

“...declaring the action of the respondents in not allotting the students in the previous year and not taking steps to empanel the name of the petitioner in the counselling list as arbitrary, illegal, discriminatory and violative of Art 14 and 19 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to include the name of the petitioner in the counselling and allot students and also allow the petitioner to fill the left over seats from the open market as per the admission schedule.”

3. The case of the petitioner is that it was established in the year 1997 with the permission of the State Government and respondent No.4 was pleased to accord permanent affiliation vide proceedings dated 16.10.1997. The petitioner is having permission as well as permanent affiliation and there are no complaints of whatsoever. Respondent No.1 announced admission schedule for the academic year 2015-2016. In those circumstances, the petitioner institution filed the present Writ Petition declaring the action of the respondents in not allotting the students in the previous year and not taking steps to empanel the name of the petitioner institution in the counselling list.

4. Respondent No.3 filed a counter affidavit stating that in the year 2005, the Directorate authorities (J.D & Crue) have visited the petitioner institution and expressed their unhappiness about the status of the I.T.I. since the facilities and machinery were not upto the mark of affiliation. Therefore, the petitioner institution was advised to improve for getting further admission. But the petitioner


institution has not submitted any information for the period 2005 to 2014. It is further stated that the respondents have not ignored the allotment of units to the petitioner. However, the petitioner has not submitted information for allotment of units. The petitioner has also not submitted its willingness for allotment of seats/units for the years 2005 to 2014. It is also denied that the petitioner institution submitted a representation to the DE & T, Hyderabad, for allotment of students for the academic year 2015-2016.

5. Though a counter affidavit has been filed, the petitioner has not filed any reply rebutting the statements made in the counter affidavit.

6. In view of the averments made in the counter affidavit, this Court is of the opinion that there are no merits in the Writ Petition and the same is liable to be dismissed.

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed.

Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall also stand dismissed. No order as to costs.


P. KESHAVA RAO, J

Date: 30.11.2018

KPM