

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

TUESDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF APRIL,
TWO THOUSAND AND EIGHTEEN

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE M.SATYANARAYANA MURTHY

CRIMINAL PETITION 4193 2018

Between:

1. Ramavath Shiva, S/o Narya @ Narayana,
2. Ramavath Narya @ Narayana, S/o Jagram,

Petitioners/Accused Nos 2 and 3

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep., by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad

Respondent/Complainant

Petition under Section 437 and 439 of Cr.PC., praying that in the circumstances stated in the memo of grounds filed herein, the High Court may be pleased to enlarge the petitioner on Bail in the event of their arrest in F.I.R No. 17 of 2018 dated 21.02.2018 on the file of Chandampet Police Station, Nalgonda District.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and memo of grounds filed herein, and upon hearing the arguments of Sri T Rajinikanth Reddy, Advocate for the Petitioners, and of Public Prosecutor (TG) for Respondent, the Court made the following

ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE M.SATYANARAYANA MURTHY

CRIMINAL PETITION No. 4193 OF 2018

ORDER:

This petition is filed, under Sections 437 and 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for grant of bail to the petitioners-accused Nos. 2 and 3 in Crime No. 17 of 2018 of Chandampet Police Station, Nalgonda District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 376, 417, 420, 323 and 506 of IPC.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Public Prosecutor (T.S.).

3. The specific role played by the petitioners is that earlier, they agreed to perform the marriage of accused No. 1 with the *de facto* complainant but later, they committed breach of promise and allegedly beat the *de facto* complainant when she demanded to perform her marriage with accused No. 1 and abused her in filthy language in open place. Thus, the role played by the petitioners at best would attract the offences punishable under Sections 323 and 506 of IPC and not the offence punishable under Section 376 of IPC. When major part of investigation is completed, question of the petitioners' interference with investigation would not arise.

4. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering that the petitioners have been languishing in jail since 01-03-2018, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

My

5. The petitioners-accused Nos. 2 and 3 are directed to be enlarged on bail on their executing each a personal bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Judicial I Class Magistrate, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District.

6. The criminal petition is accordingly allowed. Pending miscellaneous petitions if any shall stand closed in consequence.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- N.PURUSHOTHAM REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

For ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To,

1. The Addl. Judicial First Class Magistrate at Devarakonda, Nalgonda District
2. The Superintendent, Sub-Jail, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District
3. The Station House Officer, Chandampat Police Station, Nalgonda District.
4. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor (TG), High Court, Hyderabad (OUT)
5. One CC to Sri T. Rajinikanth Reddy, Advocate (OPUC)
6. One spare copy

Skm

HIGH COURT

MSM,J

DATED:17/04/2018

ORDER

CRLP.No.4193 of 2018

DIRECTION

