

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P. NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.11578 OF 2018

Dated:09.04.2018

Between:

Shaik Yaqub Miyan, S/o.Rajab Ali,
Aged about 78 years,
R/o.Bodulabanda Village,
Nelakondapalli Mandalam,
Khammam District.

.. Petitioner

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Secretary,
Revenue Department, Secretariat,
Hyderabad and another.

.. Respondents



The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO**WRIT PETITION No.11578 OF 2018****ORDER:**

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents.

2. Petitioner alleges that his grandfather was the absolute owner and possessor of the land admeasuring Acres 4.31 cents in Survey No.420/A along with additional Acres 2.00 cents of land situated in Bodulaband Village, Nelakondapalli Mandal, Khammam District. After death of his grandfather, in the year 2000, he became the absolute owner of the above said lands and has been in possession and enjoyment of the said lands. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is that though he made several applications viz., 20.10.2017, 30.10.2017, 23.11.2017, 16.12.2017, 05.02.2018 and 26.02.2018 to the 3rd respondent for grant of pattadar pass book and title deeds, so far the respondent authorities have not acted on the same. Hence, this writ petition is filed.

3. Photo copies of the applications are enclosed from page Nos.10 to 22 of the material paper book. However, the respondent authorities are not accepting applications in physical form and insist for submission of such applications

through online web portal. Apparently, so far no such application is made by the petitioner. Thus, it cannot be said that the respondent authorities are negligent in not acting on the application made by her.

4. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of, granting liberty to the petitioner to make application in Form VI-A through online web portal of the State Government and as and when such application is received, the competent authority shall consider the same and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law by assigning due reasons in support of his decision and communicate the said decision to the petitioner within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the application. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petition pending consideration, if any, in this case shall stand closed as consequence.

09.04.2018
SS

P.NAVEEN RAO, J