

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAJASHEKER REDDY

W.P.NO.11157 OF 2018

ORDER

The case of the petitioner is that he is allotted to State of Andhra Pradesh in view of bifurcation of State, and working as Medical Officer in the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation, Hospital, Vidhyadharapuram, Vijayawada. The wife of the petitioner, belongs to State of Telangana and is a Government employee working as Civil Assistant Surgeon in ESI Dispensary, Hyderabad. As his wife is working in State of Telangana, petitioner made representation to the 2nd respondent – Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation, represented by its Managing Director, for allotting him to the State of Telangana on spouse ground. The 2nd respondent vide note file in Case No.Ea/255(21)/2017-PD dated 31.08.2017 forwarded the representation of the petitioner to the 1st respondent – Telangana State Road Transport Corporation, represented by its Managing Director, to consider the claim of the petitioner for allotment to Telangana State Road Transport Corporation, in terms of Circular Memo No.9940/SPF&MC/2015 dated 07.08.2017. Aggrieved by the inaction of the 1st respondent, in considering the case of the petitioner for allotment to Telangana State Road Transport Corporation in the light of file note of the 2nd respondent dated 31.08.2017, the present writ petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner fulfils the criteria for allotment to State of Telangana as his spouse belongs to Telangana and is a Government Employee. He submits that though the 2nd respondent forwarded the representation of the petitioner to 1st respondent, the same is not being considered.

Sri N.Vasudeva Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for the 1st respondent submits that petitioner may file fresh representation stating the above facts and the 1st respondent would consider the same in accordance with law.

Heard Sri Durga Prasad, learned Standing Counsel for the 2nd respondent.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions of the learned counsel, and as the petitioner is only relying on note file of the 2nd respondent, which is the internal correspondence, without expressing any opinion on merits, writ petition is disposed of giving liberty to the petitioner to make fresh representation to the 1st respondent, stating the above facts. On receipt of such representation, the 1st respondent is directed to consider the claim of the petitioner in accordance with law and pass appropriate orders, within a period of six weeks thereof.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed. No costs.

A.RAJASHEKER REDDY,J

DATE:25—04—2018

AVS

