

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.10995 OF 2018

DATED :04.04.2018

Between :


P.Anitha W/o.P.Satyanarayana,
Aged about 51 yrs, Occu : Housewife,
R/o.H.No.13-2-944, Kagazguda,
Rahimpura, K.B.T.School,
Asifnagar, Hyderabad 500 006

.. Petitioner

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep., by its Principal Secretary
Revenue Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad & others.

.. Respondents



This court made the following :

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.10995 OF 2018

ORDER :

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government pleader for Revenue.

2. This writ petition is filed alleging that Mandal Surveyor is not conducting survey, demarcating and fixing the boundaries of the land of petitioner to an extent of Ac.3.05 guntas in Sy.No.350/A/5, Chittigidda Village and Gram Panchayat, Nawabpet Mandal, Viakarabad District.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader points out that as part of Samagra Bhoo Survey, form 1-B information copy was issued on this survey number and he would further submit that the entire exercise is taken up and updating of revenue records is actively in progress; opportunity was also afforded to the petitioner to respond to such notice and to submit the documents in support of his claim, if there are any discrepancies. He would further submit that the entire process is actively pursued by the Government and it would take some more time to finalize. At this stage it is premature for the petitioner to allege inaction on the part of respondents.

4. As seen from the documents filed at Page Nos.31, 34 and 39 against relevant survey numbers, the name of petitioner is reflected. Thus as of now, there is no cause for the petitioner to allege when larger exercise is undertaken by the Government and the entire revision of revenue records is under consideration. At this stage, it cannot be said that there is deliberate inaction on the

part of the Government for this Court to entertain the writ petition and mandate the authorities to accede the request of the petitioner within the fixed time frame.

5. Thus, at this stage it is pre-mature to allege of not conducting survey. It appears petitioner has not even responded to the intimation given to him, a copy of which is filed at Page No.31. Therefore, it cannot be said that there is deliberate inaction on the part of respondents.

6. Thus, leaving it open to the petitioner to agitate her grievance if there is inordinate delay in granting the pattadar pass books and title deeds and before the sowing season commences, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

4th April, 2018
Rds

P.NAVEEN RAO,J

