

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE M.S.RAMACHANDRA RAO**

**WP.No.9501 of 2018**

**ORDER :**

Heard the counsel for petitioner, the learned Government Pleader for Municipal Administration for 1<sup>st</sup> respondent, and Sri V. Narasimha Goud, learned Standing Counsel for Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, for 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent. None appears for 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent.

2. The grievance of petitioner is that petitioner had filed an objection on 19.02.2018 before the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent restraining the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent from granting any lay-out permission to the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent.

3. The counsel for petitioner contends that the said objection has not been considered by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent till now.

4. Sri V. Narasimha Goud, learned Standing Counsel for Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, for 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, states that the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent will consider the said objection and communicate his decision thereon to petitioner within a period of six (06) weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent to consider the said objection and communicate his decision thereon to petitioner within a period of six (06) weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order. No order as to costs.

6. As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending if any in this Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

---

**JUSTICE M.S.RAMACHANDRA RAO**

Date: 22.03.2018

Ndr/\*

