

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.9207 OF 2018

DATED :21.03.2018

Between :

Panchumarti Kamala, W/o.Ramachandra Rao,
Aged 72 yrs, Occu : Housewife, Hindu,
R/o.D.No.63/E, Sri Sai Sadan, Sundarnagar,
Hyderabad, Ranga Reddy District-500 038.

.. Petitioner

And

The State of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep., by its Secretary, Revenue Department,
Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi,
Amaravati, Guntur District & others.

.. Respondents



This court made the following :

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.9207 OF 2018

ORDER :

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government pleader for Revenue (A.P).

2. Petitioner claims to be the owner and in possession of land to an extent of Ac.0.50 cents in Sy.No.257/1, Mantripalem Village, Hamlet of Parwada Mandal, Visakhapatnam District. Petitioner claims that she purchased the said property by way of registered sale deed dated 16.01.1981 and thereafter petitioner was put in possession and is in continuous possession. This writ petition is filed alleging that suddenly, in the year 2018 the online 1-B Namuna register reflects the subject property as government property and such correction is made erroneously behind the back of petitioner.

3. To appreciate this contention, it is necessary to ascertain whether such change took place only in January, 2018 or much prior to that the change was made. When specifically questioned, learned counsel for the petitioner is not able to point out previous entries in the revenue records except referring to reflection of name of the vendor in the year 1980 and the response given to the petitioner on an application made, showing the sale transaction between the vendor and petitioner on this particular piece of land. Further petitioner also sought to rely on the challan given by the competent authority evidencing payment of sist on the subject land. This challan is also dated 06.06.1994. Except for these documents, no other material is placed on record to show that only

recently the description of property is changed reflecting the status of property as government property. Thus, the contention of the petitioner cannot be appreciated and no relief as sought for can be granted. However liberty is granted to the petitioner to make application to the Tahsildar to elicit information on the claim of the petitioner as reflected in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition and on obtaining information, it is open to the petitioner to work out his remedies as available in law. Thus, leaving it open to petitioner to make an application and to work out his remedies thereon, the Writ Petition is dismissed. It is needless to observe that if an application is made requesting to furnish information the same be furnished and informed accordingly and if any further application is made, the same shall be considered objectively and appropriate decision be made and communicated. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

21st March, 2018
Rds

P.NAVEEN RAO,J