

The Hon'ble Sri Justice C.V.Nagarjuna Reddy

and

The Hon'ble Sri Justice Gudiseva Shyam Prasad

Writ Petition No.23771 of 2010

Date: 10.08.2018

Between:

M/s.Informax BPO, rep. by its Managing
Director S.Kalesha, Hyderabad

..Petitioner

and

Abdul Majeed Lakhani and 3 others

..Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner:

Mr.EVVS.Ravi Kumar

Counsel for respondent Nos.1 & 2:

Mr.Satish Deshpande

Counsel for respondent Nos.3 & 4:

AGP for Civil Supplies

The Court made the following:

Order: (Per the Hon'ble Sri Justice C.V.Nagarjuna Reddy)

Feeling aggrieved by Order, dated 12-08-2010, in FA.No.11 of 2008 on the file of respondent No.3- Andhra Pradesh State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Hyderabad, reversing Order, dated 20-11-2007, passed by respondent No.4- District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum, Mahabubnagar, in CC.No.817 of 2007, this Writ Petition is filed.

Under Section 21(b) of the Consumer Protection Act, 1986, the petitioner has the effective alternative remedy of revision. In **Andhra Pradesh Cooperative Housing Societies Federation Ltd., Hyderabad vs. A.P.State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Hyderabad**¹, a Division Bench of this Court held that the remedy of revision to the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission is an effective alternative remedy. Therefore, we do not find any reason for the petitioner to invoke the jurisdiction of this Court without availing the aforementioned remedy.

¹ 2015(5) ALD 695 (DB)

Hence, without adjudicating on its merits, the Writ Petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to avail the alternative remedy in terms of the above observations.

As a sequel to dismissal of the Writ Petition, interim order, dated 27-09-2010, is vacated and WPMP.No.30408 of 2010, filed by the petitioner for interim relief, is disposed of as infructuous.

(C.V.Nagarjuna Reddy, J)

(Gudiseva Shyam Prasad, J)

Dt: 10th August, 2018
lur

