THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.9153 OF 2018

DATED :21.03.2018

Between :

Parsa Pattabhirama Rao, S/o.Late Sri Parsa Krishna Rao,
Aged about 62 yrs, Occu : Medical Practitioner,
R/o.Jaya Nursing Home, Opp : Petrol Bunk,
Main road, Yellandu, Bhadradri Kothagudem,
Erst while Khammam District.

.. Petitioner

And

The Tahsildar,
Singareni Mandal, Karepalli,
Khammam District & others.

.. Respondents

This court made the following :

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.9153 OF 2018

ORDER :

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government pleader for Revenue (TG).

2. According to the petitioner, petitioner, his father and his cousin are the pattadars of agricultural land to an extent of Ac.101.10 guntas in Sy.Nos.548/98/15, 548/138/100, 548/145 and 179 of Usirikayalapalli Village, Singareni Mandal, Khammam District. Petitioner apprehends that on the guise of Sada Bynamas obtained by some unidentified persons, there is an attempt made to change the entries in pahanies and further attempts are made to grant pattadar pass books and title deeds. In such an event grave prejudice would be caused to the petitioner. Apprehending that such attempts are being made, petitioner submitted representations. But so far no action is taken on the said representations and the threat of correction of revenue records and issuance of pattadar pass books is looming large.

3. As seen from the facts on record and the representations, as of now, petitioner is only apprehending that some people are attempting to secure pattadar pass books and title deeds and to get the revenue records corrected behind the back of petitioner. The A.P.Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 (for short 'the Act, 1971') deals with all aspects of record of rights, issuance of pattadar pass books and title deeds and envisages detailed procedure to undertake corrections in the revenue records. If petitioners are the owners of property and their names

are reflected in the revenue records, enough safeguards are provided by the Act, 1971. The Tahsildar can set in motion the exercise in accordance with the Act, 1971, only if an application is made. It appears, so far no such application is filed, though vaguely it is stated that applications are already filed. It cannot be said that the Tahsildar is expected to consider such kind of representations even before an application is made or even before he sets in motion the process of consideration of applications made. Thus, the writ petition is pre-mature.

4. It cannot be said that the petitioner is remediless, if the Tahsildar undertakes illegal exercise in correcting the revenue records, contrary to law. Petitioner may have a grievance only when an illegal exercise is undertaken by the Tahsildar affecting his right and to work out his remedies. The Court is not inclined to entertain the writ petition at this stage and it is accordingly dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

P.NAVEEN RAO,J

21st March, 2018
Rds