

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

THE HON' BLE SRI JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN
AND
THE HON' BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI

WRIT PETITION No.9231 OF 2018

Between:

A.Hymavathi W/ o.S Chandra,
Aged about 42 years, R/ o.3/ 2080,
Raja Reddy Street, Kadapa

...Petitioner

Vs.

The disciplinary Authority-cum-Principal
District Judge, Kadapa and another

.. Respondents

For Petitioner : Sri M.R.K. Chowdary

For Respondents : Smt. V. Umadevi

Gist :

Head Note :

Cases Referred : Nil



HON' BLE SRI JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN

AND

HON' BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI

WRIT PETITION No.9231 OF 2018

ORDER: (per V. Ramasubramanian, J)

Aggrieved by an order of removal from service, the petitioner, who was an attender, filed a statutory appeal to the High Court. The appeal is not yet disposed of.

2. But, in the meantime, raising additional grounds of attack to the original order of penalty, the petitioner has come up with the above writ petition challenging the original order of penalty itself.

3. Heard Mr. MRK Chowdary, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner. Smt.V. Umadevi, learned Standing Counsel takes notice for the respondents.

4. The main concern of the petitioner appears to be that some of the important grounds that ought to have been raised as against the original order of penalty were not raised in the memo of grounds of appeal. This grievance can be remedied by permitting the petitioner to file supplementary grounds of appeal before the High Court. Thereafter, if the appeal is directed to be disposed of within a timeframe, the alternative remedy which the petitioner has already availed, may even bear fruit.

5. Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of permitting the petitioner to file supplementary/ additional grounds of appeal before the 2nd respondent herein within a period of two (2) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Thereafter, the appeal may be disposed of by the 2nd respondent, after considering the original grounds as well

as the additional grounds of appeal within a period of twelve (12) weeks from the date of receipt of the additional grounds of appeal.

6. As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand dismissed. No order as to costs.

V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN, J

ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI, J

March 23, 2018

KTL

