

SMT JUSTICE T. RAJANI

SECOND APPEAL Nos.329 and 340 of 2018

COMMON JUDGMENT:

Since the subject matter of both these appeals is one and the same, they are being disposed of by this common judgment.

2. SA.No.329 of 2018 is preferred against the judgment in AS.No.10 of 2015 dated 06.12.2017 and AS.No.11 of 2015 dated 27.12.2017 passed by the Family Court cum IV Additional District and Sessions Judge, Adilabad by virtue of which the lower appellate Court confirmed the judgment passed by the trial Court in O.S.No.24 of 2012 dated 19.06.2013 and O.S.No.24 of 2007 dated 19.06.2003, filed for perpetual injunction in favour of plaintiff restraining the defendant and his men and for partition of suit schedule property, which is same in both the suits.

3. Heard both sides.

4. The counsel for the appellants now submits that the lower appellate Court failed to consider that in the pahanies, the name of the Vali Mohammad was recorded, without there being any authenticated document.

5. A perusal of the judgment would show that the said contention was considered by the lower appellate Court very well. Hence, there is absolutely no question of law, much less substantial question of law, involved in these appeals.

In the result, the second appeals are dismissed at the stage of admission. As a sequel, the miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

September 7, 2018
DSK

T. RAJANI, J

