

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY
&
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GUDI SEVA SHYAM PRASAD

WRIT PETITION No.8109 of 2018

DATE: 27-09-2018

Between:

Kandula Koteswara Rao

..... PETITIONER

AND

Kandula Bullamma @ Mahalakshamma
and 4 others


.....RESPONDENTS

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER: Sri K.S.MURTHY

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENTS Nos. 1 to 4 : Sri G.VIJAYA KUMAR

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT No.5: Sri J.ANIL KUMAR
SC FOR AP LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY

THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:



THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY
&
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GUDI SEVA SHYAM PRASAD

WRIT PETITION No.8109 of 2018

ORDER: (Per Hon'ble Sri Justice C.V.Nagarjuna Reddy)

This writ petition is filed for issue of a Certiorari calling for the records and to quash the award, dated 23.04.2008, in PLC No.577 of 2008, on the file of the District Legal Services Authority, Guntur.

The only submission urged by Mr.K.S.Murthy, learned counsel for the petitioner is that his client, who was respondent No.1 in PLC No.577 of 2008, was impersonated before respondent No.5-Lok Adalat and the impugned Lok Adalat award was thus secured by deceitful means.

With reference to the above submission, we have summoned the record, which has been placed before us.

A perusal of the record shows that the identity card issued by the Election Commission pertaining to the writ petitioner/respondent No.1 is available on file. It further reveals that on 23.04.2008, the Presiding Officer/Secretary of the District Legal Services Authority, Guntur, passed the following docket order:

“Both parties present. Both parties entered into amicable settlement and agreed for the terms of compromise. Adalat passed award in terms of compromise (V.S.A).”

In the light of the material discussed above, the plea of the writ petitioner that he was impersonated before respondent No.5 cannot be accepted. No other point has been urged by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In the light of the reasons recorded above, we do not find any merit in this writ petition and the same is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

As a sequel to dismissal of the writ petition, I.A.Nos.1 & 2 of 2018 filed for interim relief, and I.A.No.3 of 2018 filed for permission to send the award copy and other material to the Hand Writing Expert for comparison of disputed signatures with admitted signatures of the petitioner, shall stand dismissed as infructuous.

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY,J

GUDI SEVA SHYAM PRASAD,J

Date: 27.09.2018
Dsr

