

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T.SUNIL CHOWDARY

A.S.M.P. No.2 of 2017
IN/AND
APPEAL SUIT No.371 of 2016

JUDGMENT:

This appeal is filed by the plaintiff, under Section 96 of C.P.C., assailing the decree and judgment dated 30.12.2015 in O.S.No.454 of 2006 on the file of the Court of the Principal District Judge, Ranga Reddy District at L.B. Nagar, wherein and whereby the suit for declaration of title and recovery of possession against defendant Nos.1 to 4, was dismissed.

2. A.S.M.P.No.2 of 2017 is filed by the petitioner-appellant to permit him to compromise the matter with the respondents-defendants in terms of compromise.

3. The appellant, respondent Nos.2 to 4 and one K.V. Anjaneyulu, representing K.Kesava Kumar-respondent No.1 are present.

4. Sri C.Kumar, learned Advocate representing Sri P.Shiv Kumar, learned counsel for the appellant, identified the appellant. Sri Kalagatla Koteswara Rao, learned counsel for the respondents, identified respondent Nos.2 to 4 and K.V.Anjaneyulu representing the respondent No.1, in the open Court.

5. Heard the learned counsel for both the parties and perused the record.

6. This Court read over and explained conditions of the Memorandum of Compromise to the appellant, respondent Nos.2 to 4 and the GPA holder of the respondent in Telugu and they

admitted the same. Both the parties, in the open Court, submitted that they entered into compromise, at the advice of the elders, to put an end to the litigation.

7. Accordingly, A.S.M.P.No.2 of 2017 is allowed. Consequently, the appeal is disposed of, in terms of the compromise. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this appeal shall stand closed.

T.SUNIL CHOWDARY, J

Date: 03.01.2018

NOTE:

The Office is directed to annex the copy of the compromise to the decree.

(By order)

YS

