

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE U.DURGA PRASAD RAO

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.282 of 2018

ORDER:

Petitioner/accused challenges the notice/order dated 29-11-2017 in Proceedings M.C.B/65/2017 passed by the learned Executive Magistrate at Garidepally, forfeiting the bond earlier executed by her for a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- on the ground that as per the material placed before him, the petitioner has committed breach of bond by involving in an offence under Section 7(A) r/w 8(e) of A.P.Prohibition Act (Telangana Adaption Order,2015) in Crime No.192/2017 dated 3-10-2017 of Prohibition & Excise Station, Huzurnagar.

2. Heard Sri S.Chandra Mohan Reddy, learned counsel appearing for petitioner/accused and learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

3. The main grievance of the learned counsel for petitioner is that the said notice was issued by the learned Executive Magistrate ordering forfeiture of the bond without conducting due enquiry contemplated under Sections 107 to 122 Cr.P.C and therefore, manifestly, the order is erroneous and devoid of law. I find force in his submission.

4. A perusal of the relevant provisions of Cr.P.C would manifest that before forfeiting the bond offered by the party, the duty is cast on the Executive Magistrate to hold enquiry. *Ex-facie* no enquiry was conducted as contemplated under law before issuing the impugned order. Therefore, the order suffers vice of non-following the procedure. Learned counsel for petitioner relied upon the decision dated 2.6.2017 in CrI.R.C.No.1404 of 2017 passed by this Court holding in similar circumstances that the order passed by the Executive Magistrate was not maintainable under law and directed the Executive Magistrate to pass appropriate orders strictly in

accordance with the procedure established by law after hearing the petitioner/accused therein.


5. The said order squarely applies to the instant case on hand as in the instant case also learned Executive Magistrate has not conducted any enquiry before ordering forfeiture of bond. Therefore, Criminal Revision Case is allowed and the impugned order dated 29-11-2017 in Proceedings M.C.B/65/2017 is set aside with a direction to the Executive Magistrate, Garidepally to pass appropriate orders by following the due process of law after hearing the petitioner.

As a sequel to disposal of the case, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

U.DURGA PRASAD RAO, J

Dated 7th February, 2018.

Note:
Issue C.C.tomorrow.
BO
Dvs.



HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE U.DURGA PRASAD RAO



CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.282 of 2018

Dated 7th February, 2018.