

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

THURSDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF APRIL,
TWO THOUSAND AND EIGHTEEN
PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE U.DURGA PRASAD RAO

IA.No. 3 of 2018
IN
CRL.P No. 1187 of 2018

Between:

Annay Shiva Anjali Devi, W/o. Venkata Krishna Rao

Petitioner/Defacto Complainant

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

Respondent

2. Tanneru Nagaswar Rao, S/o. Lakshmi Narayana, Occ: Political Activist, R/o. Jaggaiahpet, Krishna District.

Petitioner/Accused

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the petition and the grounds filed in Crl.P., the High Court may be pleased to permit the petitioner to compound the offence under provisions of the Sections 376(2), 384, 420 and 506 of IPC and discharge the petitioner/Accused from the above offence, pending disposal of Crl.P. No. 1187/2018 on the file of the High Court.

The Petition coming on for hearing upon perusing the petition and grounds filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri D.Ravi Kumar Reddy, Advocate for the Petitioner, the Public Prosecutor (AP) for the Respondent No.1 and of Sri P.V.N.Kiran Kumar, Advocate for the Respondent No.2, the Court made the following

ORDER:

" Heard both sides.

2) The complaint allegations are that the complainant incurred loss in sarees business and hence she left the said business and on the advice of her friends, she joined in politics where she got acquaintance with accused and he took Rs.5 lakhs on the promise that he will give lift to her political career and from time to time she gave him Rs.20 lakhs. Thereafter, the accused without her consent had sexual intercourse by making false promise. Basing on the said complaint the police registered a case in Cr.No.761 of 2017.

3) Pending Criminal Petition, the parties filed the instant I.A.No.3 of 2018 seeking permission to compound the offence. In this I.A. defacto complainant filed an affidavit stating that herself and accused were working in the same political party and due to acquaintance, she used to give money to him and he returned the same but the money given by her in 2011—2014 was not returned by him. Therefore on the ill advice of the persons who are inimical to the accused she gave a false complaint against him with the hope that she could get back her money. She stated that except the money transactions she had no other issue with the accused. The common friends and well-wishers have conducted panchayat and resolved the money dispute between them and hence she has no interest to prosecute the case and she has no objection for compounding the offence.

4) It is true that offence under Section 376(2)(n) IPC is a grave offence. However, in view of the affidavit filed by the 2nd respondent/defacto complainant, this Court is of the view that even if the investigation is proceeded and parties are driven to trial no useful purpose will be served. Considering it, I.A.No.3 of 2018 is allowed and permission is accorded to the parties to compound the offence."

Sd/- N.PURUSHOTHAM REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

for ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To

1. The SHO, Patamala Police Station, Vijayawada, Krishna District.
2. Tanneru Nageswar Rao, S/o. Lakshmi Narayana, Occ: Political Activist, R/o. Jaggalahpet, Krishna District.
3. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor (AP), High Court, Hyd(OUT)
4. One CC to Sri D.Ravi Kumar Reddy, Advocate (OPUC)
5. One spare copy.

SAH

HIGH COURT

UDPRJ

DATED: 19-04-2018

ORDER

IA.NO. 3 OF 2018
IN
CRL.P.NO. 1187 OF 2018

DIRECTION



✓