

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.V.SESHA SAI

W.P.Nos.2053 and 3259 of 2018

COMMON ORDER:

When the matters are taken up, it is submitted by Sri Krishna Chaitanya, learned counsel attached to the Office of the learned Advocate General that the representation of the petitioner will be considered subject to certain conditions.

2. On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record a copy of the order in W.P.No.35738 of 2017, dated 08.11.2017 as a material paper. The operative portion of the said order in W.P.No.35738 of 2017, dated 08.11.2017 reads as under:

“(a) The factual and legal contentions raised by the parties in all aspects are left open for consideration as and when a lis arises on substantive prayers;

(b) The petitioner is given liberty to apply to 5th respondent for permission to conduct meeting, enclose a copy of this order with the proposed application furnishing, among other details, the date, time and venue of proposed public meeting, number of persons likely to participate and also the measures the petitioner-organization would be taking to ensure maintenance of law and order and prevention of disruption to normal life by such assembly or its members;

(c) the 5th respondent on receipt of the request from petitioner independently examines the request and grants permission by imposing such conditions as are felt reasonable and necessary in this behalf;

(d) the 5th respondent disposes of the application within three working days from the date of receipt of the request from petitioner; (e) It is needless to observe that the petitioner-organization complies with the conditions imposed by respondents and enforces its fundamental right of assembly or protest within the four corners of law.

There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, pending miscellaneous petitions stand closed.”

3. It is also brought to the notice of this Court that on 17.01.2018 the petitioner filed representation and the same was rejected. Thereafter, on 29.01.2018 petitioner made another representation to the Police Authorities and the same is pending consideration.

3. Having regard to the submissions made and having regard to the orders of this Court referred to *supra*, these writ petitions are also disposed of in terms of the orders passed by this Court in W.P.No.35738 of 2017, dated 08.11.2017 and the respondents are directed to pass orders on the representation of the petitioner dated 29.01.2018. As a sequel, the miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.


Date:05.02.2018
grk

Note:

Furnish
CC today.
b/o grk



A.V.SESHA SAI, J



THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.V.SESHA SAI



W.P.Nos.2053 and 3259 of 2018

Dated: 05.02.2018

grk

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.V.SESHA SAI

W.P.No.27978 of 2009

Date : 28.1.2015

Between:

Adarsa Vyayama Sangam, Perala,
rep. by its President Guddanti Narsimha Rao.

... Petitioner

and

The Superintendent of Police,
Ongole, Prakasam district and others.

... Respondents

