

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**WEDNESDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND EIGHTEEN**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE T. RAJANI

CRIMINAL PETITION No.911 OF 2018

Between:

B.Bhaskar Reddy, S/o.Late B.Chengal Reddy A-3)

...Petitioner/Accused No.3

AND

The State of A.P. rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to enlarge the Petitioner/Accused No.3 on bail in the event of his arrest pursuant to the Cr.No.50 of 2017 of S.R. Puram Police Station, Chittoor District in the interest of justice.

This petition coming on for hearing upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Maheswara Rao Kuncheam, Advocate for the Petitioner and of the Public Prosecutor (AP) on behalf of the Respondent.

The Court made the following Order:

SMT JUSTICE T.RAJANI

CRIMINAL PETITION No.911 OF 2018

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed seeking for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who is accused No.3, in Crime No.50 of 2017 on the file of the Station House Officer, S.R. Puram Police Station, Chittoor District.

2. The offences alleged are under Sections 420, 468 and 471 R/w.34 of I.P.C.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State, and perused the record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the earlier bail petition filed by the petitioner in Criminal Petition No.10767 of 2017, seeking anticipatory bail, was dismissed by this Court, on 14.11.2017, considering that the petitioner was involved in another case in Crime No.6 of 2017. Learned counsel now clarifies that the another case in which the petitioner involved is not in Crime No.6 of 2011 but it is in Crime No.6 of 2017, which is registered for the offence of Criminal trespass.

5. Be that as it may, learned Public Prosecutor submits that as per the confession of the co-accused *i.e.*, accused No.6, this petitioner was involved in the commission of the offence. He further submits that the matter is still under investigation.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner now submits that the petitioner is suffering from complicated gastric problems. But this Court opines that the said problem cannot form a basis for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Hence, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Sd/- N.CHANDRASEKHAR RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //


SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The X Additional District & Sessions Judge, Tirupati, Chittoor District.
2. The Station House Officer, S.R. Puram Police Station, Chittoor District.
3. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor (AP), High Court at Hyderabad (OUT)
4. One CC to Sri Maheswara Rao Kuncheam, Advocate (opuc)
5. Two C.D. Copies.

MRC



HIGH COURT

DATED: 14-02-2018

ORDER

CRL.P.NO. 911 OF 2018



DISMISSING THE CRIMINAL PETITION

⑦ vlv
3/3/18